

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/634,729 | 08/04/2003 | Gayle R. Ekstrom | 8285-618 | 6661 | |
| 7590 06/20/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE | | | DEANE JR, WILLIAM J | | |
| P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2614 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | ı No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/634,729 |) | EKSTROM, GAYLE | EKSTROM, GAYLE R. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | William J. D | | 2614 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS IN 1975 IT IN 1 | ILING DATE OF THI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. Itory period will apply and will Ill, by statute, cause the applic | S COMMUNIO at, however, may a r expire SIX (6) MON cation to become AB | CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | : : | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on 04 August 2003. | | | | | | | |
| | | : } | | | | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits | | | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | · | | | | | | | |
| _ | Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the ap | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are | : | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | : | | | | | | | |
| · - | Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. | : | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | ÷ | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | ; | | | | | | | |
| | on Papers | | 1 | : | | | | | |
| | · | | | : | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | D 4 404(d) | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the transfer of the countries of th | • | _ | • • | • • | | | | |
| 11/ | The ball of declaration is objected to t | by the Examiner. Not | e ine allachet | | J-132. | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | : | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| a) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | : | 2.090 | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | : : | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) X Infon | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 page</u> . | TO/SB/08) | | nformal Patent Application (PTO | -152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/634,729

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-25 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,390 and claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,134,311. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter is the same and applicant is only trying to slightly broaden the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,729

Art Unit: 2614

Claims 1 – 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, it is unclear in claim 1 as to whether applicant is claiming one service node or two.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,729,592 (Frech et al.).

With respect to claims 1 - 4 note Figs. 1 - 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 – 13. 15 – 20 and 22 - 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frech et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,055,424 (Tornquist et al.).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,729 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

With respect to claims 5 – 25, Frech et al. teach the claimed device and method accept for the use of a personal access service (PAS). However, note that Tornquist et al. teach such a PAS is old in the art (see Col. 121, lines 31 – 38, and Col. 122, line 58 – Col. 123, line 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a PAS as taught by Tornquist et al. into the Frech et al. device as such would only entail the substitution of one well known node for another. With respect to the specifics of the PAS such as playing a greeting, screening and etc. note Cols. 156 and 200. Even if applicant was to argue any of the specifics like playing a greeting or screening by time or phone number or any other limitations as presently claimed, such limitations are so notoriously old in the art that no art need be supplied.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

03Jun2006

MILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER